

Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3A




Fig. 3B




Fig. 3C




Fig. 3D




Fig. 3E




Fig. 3F




Fig. 3G




Fig. 3H





Fig. 4A

Fig. 4B






Fig. 4C




Fig. 4D




Fig. 4E




Fig. 4F




Fig. 4G




Fig. 4H




Fig. 4I




Fig. 5A

Fig. 5B

1012 1080 1116 1004 1084 1032 1132 1024

1012 ANALYSIS: CASES AFTER

TERRY V. OHIO, 392 U.S. 1 (1968)

SEARCH 1: 4

SEARCH 1

SEARCH TITLE: SEARCH 1

VOLUME: 392 1140

CATEGORY: US 1144

PAGE: 11 1136

SECTION 1148

ANALYSIS: 1152

☐ Similar 1156

☐ Cases In

☒ Cases After

EXECUTE SEARCH 1160

UNDATED	APRIL 1992	OCT 1991	APRIL 1991	OCT 1990	APRIL 1990	OCT 1989	APRIL 1989	OCT 1988
	U.S. V. JORDAN 958 F.2d 1085	U.S. V. WILLIAMS 951 F.2d 1287	U.S. V. MCKIE 951 F.2d 399	U.S. V. NURSE 916 F.2d 20	U.S. V. JOSEPH 892 F.2d 118	U.S. V. TIMBERLAKE 896 F.2d 592		
			U.S. V. MCCRORY 930 F.2d 63 (DC. CIR. 1991) YEAR: 1991 DATE: APRIL 12 WEIGHT: 1					
			U.S. V. TAVOLACCI 895 F.2d 1423					

1108 1084 1032 1036

RELIANCE ON

RELIANCE ON

Fig. 5D




Fig. 5E




Fig. 5F




Fig. 5G

Fig. 5G is a detailed schematic of a search interface. The interface is divided into several sections. At the top, there's a "SEARCH I:3" header. Below it, a "SEARCH I" box contains a "SEARCH TITLE" field with "SEARCH I" entered, and a "VOLUME" field with "951" entered. To the right, there are "CATEGORY" and "PAGE" fields with "1140" and "1144" entered respectively. Below these, there's a "SECTION" field with "1136" entered. A "PERCENT SIMILARITY" scale is shown at the bottom, ranging from 0 to 100. The main area is a grid displaying search results. Each result entry includes a case name, volume, date, and weight. For example, "U.S. V. JORDAN, 951 F.2d 1278 (DC. CIR. 1991)" is shown. To the right of the grid, there's an "ANALYSIS" section with radio buttons for "Similar", "Cases In", and "Cases After". Below this is an "EXECUTE SEARCH" button. The interface also includes a "SEARCH II" section at the bottom right, which is currently empty.

21012
21120

Fig. 5H

1024

SEARCH 1

SEARCH TITLE: SEARCH 1

VOLUME: 910

CATEGORY f2d

PAGE: 843

SECTION:

1148

1140

1144

1136

1152

ANALYSIS

☐ Similar

☐ Cases In

☒ Cases After

1156

EXECUTE SEARCH

1160

SCHEMATIC REPRESENTATIONS OF THE EIGHTEEN PRIMARY PATTERNS




Fig. 6




Fig. 7

Fig. 8-1




Fig. 8-2

LIBERTECH DEMONSTRATION INFOBASE	
ALVES V. COMMISSIONER, 734 F. 2D 478 (9TH CIR. 1984)	
DIEGO, CALIF, 92101, FOR PETITIONERS-APPELLANTS. JAY MILLER, DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530, FOR RESPONDENT-APPELLEE	
DRE KENNEDY, SCHROEDER, AND BOOCHEVER, CIRCUIT JUDGES.	
TION	
ROEDER, CIRCUIT JUDGE:	
RENCE J. ALVES APPEALS A TAX COURT DECISION SUSTAINING THE COMMISSIONER'S FINDING OF DEFICIENCY FOR 1974 AND 1975. ALVES V. COMMISSIONER, 79 T. C. 864 (1982). THE APPEAL RAISES AN EQUAL QUESTION UNDER SECTION 83 OF THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE, .S.C. § 83 (1982). SECTION 83 REQUIRES THAT AN EMPLOYEE HAS PURCHASED RESTRICTED STOCK IN CONNECTION WITH HIS PERFORMANCE OF SERVICES" MUST INCLUDE AS ORDINARY INCOME THE STOCK'S APPRECIATION IN VALUE BETWEEN THE TIME OF PURCHASE AND THE	

HIGHLIGHTER

BOOKMARK

GO TO

FIELD

MISSIONER 79)

26 U.S.C. § 83 (C) (1983) 1033

TREAS. REG § 1.83-3 (1985) 2120

ROBINSON V. COMMISSIONER 93 T.C. 623 (1989)

SCHULMAN V. COMMISSIONER 59 T.C.M. 236 (1990)

CAMPBELL V. COMMISSIONER 920 F. 2D 38 (1ST CIR. 1986)

MONTEPRE SYSTEMED, INC. V. COMMISSIONER 956 F. 2D 496 (5TH CIR. 1992)

CENTEL COMM. CO., INC. V. COMMISSIONER 920 F. 2D 1196 (7TH CIR. 1990)

BAGLEY V. COMMISSIONER 806 F. 2D 169 (8TH CIR. 1986)

REV. RUL. 83-22 AIDOO V. COMMISSIONER
65 T.C.M. 1798 (1993)

TIME

HE E

PRIC

WHE

REST

AMO

REG

OPIN

TRA

REG

FAC

GEN

197

FIRS

90,0

66,0

SELL

RECORD: 8/1888

HIT: 1/10

QUERY: [GROUP 734 F. 2D 478: [FIELD 26 U.S.C. § 83: *]]

Fig. 8-3

Fig. 8-4

THE RESTRICTIONS LAPSE, UNLESS AT THE TIME HE PURCHASED THE STOCK
LECTED TO INCLUDE AS INCOME THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE PURCHASE
E AND THE FAIR MARKET VALUE AT THAT TIME. 1 THE ISSUE HERE IS
THER SECTION 83 ☐ APPLIES TO AN EMPLOYEE'S PURCHASE OF
RICTED STOCK WHEN , ACCORDING TO THE STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES, THE
UNT PAID FOR THE STOCK EQUALED ITS FULL FAIR MARKET VALUE, WITHOUT
ARD TO ANY RESTRICTIONS. THE TAX COURT, WITH TWO DISSENTING
JOINS, HELD THAT SECTION 83 ☐ APPLIES TO ALL RESTRICTED STOCK THAT IS
NSFERRED "IN CONNECTION WITH THE PERFORMANCE OF SERVICES,"
ARDLESS OF THE AMOUNT PAID FOR IT. 79 T. C. ☐ AT 878. WE AFFIRM.

TS

ERAL DIGITAL CORPORATION (THE COMPANY) WAS FORMED IN APRIL,
D, TO MANUFACTURE AND MARKET MICRO-ELECTRONIC CIRCUITS. AT ITS
T MEETING, THE COMPANY'S BOARD OF DIRECTORS RESOLVED TO ISSUE
00 SHARES OF ITS COMMON STOCK TO ITS COMPANY PRESIDENT, AND
00 SHARES TO THE COMPANY UNDERWRITER. THE BOARD ALSO VOTED TO
AN ADDITIONAL 264,000 SHARES OF COMMON STOCK TO SEVEN NAMED




Fig. 9

Fig. 10A-1




Fig. 10A-2





Fig. 10A-3



SOURCE MAP - TERRY V. OHIO			
TERRY V. OHIO 292 U.S. 1 (1968)			
U.S. CONST. AMEND. IV 1791			

TERRY V. OHIO
292 U.S. 1 (1968)

U.S. CONST. AMEND. IV 1791

Fig.10B-2

292 U.S. 1 (1968)

BECH V. OHIO
379 U.S. 89 (1964)

KATZ V. UNITED STATES
389 U.S. 347, 351 (1967)
(HARLAN, J., CONCURRING)

L. TIFFANY ET. AL.,
DETECTION OF CRIME (1967)

PRESTON V. UNITED STATES
376 U.S. 364 (1964)

PEOPLE V. RIVERA
14 N.Y. 2D 441 (1964)

CERT. DENIED 379 U.S. 978

CAMARA V. MUN. CT.
387 U.S. 523 (1967)

WARDEN V. HAYDEN
387 U.S. 294, 310 (1967)
(FORTAS, J., CONCURRING)

MAPP V. OHIO
367 U.S. 643 (1961)

ELHINS V. UNITED STATES
364 U.S. 206 (1960)

BRINEGAR V. UNITED STATES
338 U.S. 160 (1949)

UNITED STATES V. DI RE
332 U.S. 581 (1948)

JOHNSON V. UNITED STATES
333 U.S. 10 (1948)

CARROL V. UNITED STATES
267 U.S. 132 (1925)

ELLIS V. UNITED STATES
264 F. 2D 372 (1959)

Fig. 10B-3




Fig.10B-4





Fig. 10C-1

[illegible]

Fig. 10C-2





THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 		JAN. 1924	JAN. 1930	JAN. 1936	JAN. 1942	JAN. 1948	JAN. 1954
15 U.S.C. § 78P (B) 1934							

Fig. 10C-4






Fig. 11




Fig. 12

FOLIO BOUND VIEWS	
FILE EDIT VIEW SEARCH V-SEARCH WINDOW HELP	
OPEN SAVE QUERY CLEAR QUERY NEXT PREVIOUS BACKTRACK	<p><input type="checkbox"/> THE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO...</p> <p>UNION PACIFIC RAILWAY COMPANY V. BOTSFORD.</p> <p>UNION PACIFIC RAILWAY CO. V. BOTSFORD 141 U.S. 250</p> <p>ERROR TO THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF INDIANA.</p>
<input type="checkbox"/> H. L. V. MATHESON 450 U.S. <div>H. L. V. MATHESON 450 U.S. 389, 425 (1981) (MARSHALL, J. DISSENTING)</div> <p>12 OF 20 ITEMS</p>	<div>CAREY V. POPULATION SERVICES INTERNATIONAL 431 U.S. 678 (1977)</div> <div>LANNED PARENTHOOD OF MISSOURI V. DANFORTH 8 U.S. 52 (1976)</div> <div>ROE V. WADE</div>

FILE EDIT VIEW SEARCH V-SEARCH WINDOW HELP

OPEN

**UNION PACIFIC
RAILWAY
COMPANY V.
BOTSFORD.**



QUERY

CLEAR QUERY

NEXT

PREVIOUS

BACKTRACK

Fig. 13A-2

<div> <div> <div>▶</div> <div>□</div> <div>×</div> </div> </div>	
<div> <div> <div>▶</div> <div>□</div> <div>×</div> </div> <div>UNION PACIFIC RAILWAY CO.</div> </div>	
<div> <div> <div>UNION PACIFIC RAILWAY CO. V. BOTSFORD</div> <div>141 U.S. 250 (1981)</div> </div> <div>3 OF</div> </div>	
<div> <div> <div>001 V. SALKIEWICZ</div> </div> <div> <div>ANFORTH P</div> </div> </div>	

Fig. 13A-3







 TRAIL		NO. 1375. SUBMITTED JANUARY 6, 1891.--DECIDED MAY 25, 1891.		410 U.S. 113 (1973)		HARRI 448 U	
 CONTENTS		E, 82 YALE L. J. 920 (1973)		BELLOTTI V. 443 U. S. (
 HIGHLIGHTER		V. 74 COLUM. L. REV. 1410 (1974)		DOE V. BOTTON 410 U.S. 179 (1973)			
 BOOKMARK		WISCONSIN V. VODER 486 U. S. 205 (1972)		EISENSTADT V. BAIRD 406 U.S. 438 (1972)		MATHER V. ROE 432 U. S. 464 (197	
 GO TO		JAN. 1967		JAN. 1971		JAN. 1975	
 FIELD		RECORD 113/10715		HIT 0/0		QUERY	

Fig. 13A-4




Fig. 13B-1















FOLIO BOUND VIEWS	
FILE EDIT VIEW SEARCH V-SEARCH WINDOW HELP	
THE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO PRIVACY: ROE V. WADE AND BEYOND	
<div> <div>  OPEN </div> <div>  SAVE </div> <div>  QUERY </div> <div>  CLEAR QUERY </div> <div>  NEXT </div> <div>  PREVIOUS </div> <div>  BACKTRACK </div> </div>	
<div> <div>UNION PACIFIC RAILWAY COMPA</div> <div>UNION PACIFIC RAILWAY CO. V. BOTSFOR</div> <div> <div>ERROR TO THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR TH</div> <div>NO. 1375.</div> <div>SUBMITTED JANUARY 6, 1891.--DECIDED MAY 2</div> </div> <div>LIBERTECH CASE HOLDING: FEDERAL COURTS DO NOT HAVE THE POWER U</div> <div>TO ORDER A PLAINTIFF IN A PERSONAL INJURY CIVIL SUIT TO SUBMIT TO A</div> <div>DEFENSE TO DETERMINE THE EXTENT OF HIS OR HER INJURIES. JUSTICE GR</div> <div>THE COURT.</div> </div>	

Fig. 13B-2

<div>▶ □ ×</div>	
<div>▶ □ ×</div>	
<div>◀ □</div>	
WY V. BOTSFORD	
D 141 U.S. 250	
E DISTRICT OF INDIANA.	
5, 1891.	
UNDER FEDERAL STATUTES OR COMMON LAW PHYSICAL EXAMINATION REQUESTED BY THE JAY WROTE THE OPINION FOR 7 MEMBERS OF	

Fig. 13B-3

 TRAIL	UNION PACIFIC RAILWAY CO. V. BOTSFORD		CLUSTER MAP	
 CONTENTS	UNION PACIFIC RAILWAY CO. V. BOTSFORD 141 U.S. 250 (1894)		6 OF 21 ITEMS	
 HIGHLIGHTER	U. S. CONST. AMEND. 1791		U. S. CONST. AMEND. XIV 1869	
 BOOKMARK				
 GO TO			SUPERINTENDE 373 MOES 728	
 FIELD				
JAN. 1781		JAN. 1792	JAN. 1825	JAN. 1867 JAN.
RECORD 113/10715		HIT 0/0	QUERY	

1900		JAN. 1921		JAN. 1958	
H.L. V. MATHESON 450 U.S. 398. 425 (1981)		GRISWOLD V. CONNECTICUT  381 U. S. 479 (1964)		ROE V. WADE 410 U.S. 113 (1973)	
CITY OF BEICHERTOWN STATE SCHOOL V. SALKIEWICZ (1977)					




Fig. 14A




Fig. 14B